



MENSTRUATION AS A BARRIER TO EDUCATION?

Girls in Nepal missed only half a day of school per year due to menstruation, and modern sanitary products did little to address this very small attendance gap.



PHOTO BY KRISHNA GHIMIRE

Increasing education for girls is an important policy priority in many developing countries, where secondary school enrollment often remains lower for girls than for boys.

Some researchers and policymakers have argued that menstruation may be causing girls to miss a significant number of school days. At the maximum, some have estimated that girls might be missing as much as 10 to 20 percent of school days due to menstruation. Anecdotal evidence seems to support this. Girls report missing school during their periods and lacking access to modern sanitary products.

In response, NGOs and sanitary product manufacturers have conducted campaigns to distribute sanitary products in the hope that this will remove a barrier to female education (CH Deutsch, 2007. “A Not-So-Simple Plan to Keep African Girls in School.” *NYT* Nov. 12). However, there has been little or no rigorous evidence on how much school girls miss due to their periods and the impact of providing sanitary products.

Emily Oster and J-PAL affiliate Rebecca Thornton introduced a convenient and hygienic sanitary product, reusable menstrual cups, to Nepalese girls in the seventh and eighth grades. Their evaluation addressed several questions: Is menstruation as large a barrier to education as many believe? Does switching to modern sanitary products increase attendance and school performance among girls?

- **Girls miss fewer school days because of menstruation than previously believed.**

Even though 47 percent of the girls in this study reported missing some school due to menstruation in the past year, attendance data found that girls missed only about half a day of school *per year* because of their periods.

- **While the menstrual cups were used by many girls in the treatment group, they did not reduce the (very small) amount of school missed due to menstruation.** The study found no significant impact on attendance, even though 60 percent of the girls in the treatment group chose to use the menstrual cup.

- **Although the new sanitary product did not have a direct educational benefit, girls liked the product.**

When offered the menstrual cup, most girls chose to use it, often switching away from traditional cloth rags.

EVALUATION

This evaluation took place in four schools in Chitwan, Nepal. In November 2006, all seventh- and eighth-grade girls from each school were invited, with their mothers or female guardians, to participate in a study meeting conducted by school officials and project staff. Across the schools, 198 girls chose to attend a meeting where they were asked questions about their basic demographics, education, menstruation, and self-esteem. In each of the four schools, a public lottery followed the meeting, and half of the girls were selected to be part of the treatment group. Lottery winners were asked to remain after the meeting had concluded.

INTERVENTION

Receive menstrual cup and training



Each treatment group girl and her mother or female guardian received a MoonCup brand reusable menstrual cup. The product is a small, silicone, bell-shaped cup which is inserted in the vagina to collect menstrual blood. With proper care, the cup is reusable for up to a decade.

A nurse gave detailed instructions on the use of the cup.



PHOTOS BY KRISHNA GHIMIRE

After the menstrual cups were distributed, the study collected detailed data on the timing of the girls' menstruation and on girls' school attendance. The study also collected data on the girls' other activities and usage of the cups. This information was collected using time diaries (attendance, daily activities) and menstrual calendars (dates of menstruation), school administrative data (attendance, test scores), random unannounced school visits (attendance), and a second survey conducted sixteen months after girls in the treatment group received the cups. The survey also included questions about daily activities, self-esteem indicators, and self-reported symptoms of gynecological infections.

Featured Evaluation

Oster, Emily and Rebecca Thornton. 2011. "Menstruation, Sanitary Products, and School Attendance: Evidence from a Randomized Evaluation." *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics* 3(1): 91-100.

This evaluation was implemented in collaboration with the Institute for Social and Environmental Research, Nepal, with special thanks to Bishnu Adhikari, Indra Chaudhary, Dirgha Ghimire, Krishna Ghimire, Sunita Ghimire, and Prem Pundit.


RESULTS

Menstruation caused girls to miss only half a day of school per year on average. In the initial survey, 47 percent of the girls reported missing school due to menstruation at least once in the past year, but that information did not tell researchers *how much* school the girls had missed. When the researchers matched official attendance records with data on the dates of girls' periods, they found that girls in the comparison group, who did not receive a menstrual cup, had only slightly lower attendance during their periods. On days when they were not menstruating, girls attended school 85.7 percent of the time, compared to 83 percent of the time during their periods (Figure 1). This translates to girls missing only 0.35 school days per year because of their periods. Data from the time diaries kept by the girls showed a similar effect: girls missed an estimated 0.6 school days per year due to their periods.

Take-up of the product was high and increased over time. After the menstrual cup was distributed, girls in the treatment group increasingly chose to use it. Most of the girls experimented with the cup; if they successfully used it once, they switched away from traditionally used rags and continued using the cup. Half a year later, 60 percent of girls were using the cups (see Figure 2).


While the product was used by many girls in the treatment group, it did not reduce the (very small) amount of school missed due to menstruation. The researchers found no statistically significant impact of the improved sanitary product on attendance. Similarly, no significant impact was found on test scores.

FIGURE 2: SHARE OF GIRLS EXPERIMENTING WITH CUP AND USING CUP OVER TIME



Access to these products seemed to impact girls' lives in terms of convenience and mobility in managing their periods. For example, treatment girls reported spending 20 minutes less on laundry during their period days. Yet the product had no effect on other daily activities, self-reported gynecological infections, or self-esteem indicators.

FIGURE 1: ATTENDANCE RATES, PERIOD & NON-PERIOD DAYS



LEARNING FROM A PROGRAM THAT MISSED ITS INTENDED IMPACT

This evaluation examined a program that did not have the impact one might have intuitively expected. The results illustrate the importance of rigorously testing programs, even when there appears to be a broad consensus on an idea. Even self-reported information from the girls in this study would have seemed to suggest that providing sanitary products would improve attendance: Nearly half of the girls had reported missing school due to menstruation.

Additionally, the aspect of this program that people worried about initially—that few girls would use an unfamiliar, insertable device for menstrual management—turned out not to be a problem. Most of the girls switched to the menstrual cup and continued to use it over time.

Abdul Latif Jameel Poverty Action Lab

J-PAL GLOBAL

Massachusetts Institute of Technology
30 Wadsworth St., E53-320
Cambridge, MA 02142, USA

J-PAL AFRICA

SALDRU, School of Economics
University of Cape Town, Private Bag X3
Rondebosch 7701, South Africa

J-PAL EUROPE

Paris School of Economics
66 bis Avenue Jean Moulin
75014 Paris, France

J-PAL LATIN AMERICA

Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile
Instituto de Economía
Av. Vicuna Mackenna 4860
Santiago, Chile

J-PAL SOUTH ASIA

Institute for Financial Management and Research
IIT Madras Research Park
A1, 10th Floor, Kanagam Road
Taramani, Chennai 600 113, India



About J-PAL The Abdul Latif Jameel Poverty Action Lab (J-PAL) is a network of affiliated professors around the world who are united by their use of Randomized Evaluations (REs) to answer questions critical to poverty alleviation. J-PAL's mission is to reduce poverty by ensuring that policy is based on scientific evidence. Visit www.povertyactionlab.org to learn more.

MENSTRUATION AS A BARRIER TO EDUCATION?

J-PAL BRIEFCASE [JUNE 2011]

POLICY LESSONS

Even in a context where about half of girls surveyed reported missing school due to menstruation, providing modern sanitary products was not a simple solution for improving girls' school attendance. The underlying causes of low school attendance for girls are complex, and this evaluation suggests that the relatively easy solution of providing sanitary products may not result in the educational gains policymakers had hoped for.

For policymakers seeking cost-effective methods of addressing low school attendance, alternative strategies are available. Modern sanitary products failed to significantly increase school attendance. Other randomized evaluations have found much larger gains in attendance by, for example, telling parents that their children can earn more with each additional year of schooling they complete (Nguyen 2008), improving student health through treatment of intestinal worms (Miguel and Kremer 2004), or reducing the cost of schooling with free uniforms (Evans et al. 2009).

Girls liked the new sanitary product and continued to use it over time. Girls found the menstrual cup convenient and enjoyed a little more free time because they spent less time doing laundry. However, only 2 percent of the girls in this evaluation reported regularly purchasing modern sanitary products such as pads, indicating that the cost of these menstrual cups may be prohibitive for many girls.

Should these products be subsidized? The primary justification for subsidizing sanitary products has been their posited educational benefits. However, this product's lack of impact on school attendance would suggest a rethinking of directing education funds to this intervention. Policymakers considering subsidizing sanitary products will need to consider whether these results are likely to generalize to their setting and whether the improvement in convenience justifies the cost of providing these products.

